

Problem Set 3 solutions

Problem 1. Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. Show that if every R -module is free then R is a field.

Proof. Assume towards a contradiction that R is not a field. Recall that a commutative ring R with $1 \neq 0$ is a field if and only if it has no nonzero proper ideals. Thus there is a nonzero, proper ideal I of R .

Consider the R -module R/I . If $r+I$ is any element, then for any $0 \neq a \in I$ we have $a(r+I) = 0$. Since $I \neq 0$ such an a exists, and thus any singleton set $\{r+I\}$ is linearly dependent. This proves that no nonempty subset of R/I can be linearly independent. So, the only possible basis of R/I is the empty set, which means that $R/I = \{0\}$. But $R/I \neq \{0\}$, since I is proper, a contradiction. \square

Problem 2. An abelian group A is called divisible if for each $a \in A$ and integer $n > 1$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a = nb$. Prove that if $A \neq \{0_A\}$ is a divisible abelian group then A is not a free \mathbb{Z} -module. Deduce that \mathbb{Q} is not a free \mathbb{Z} -module.

Proof. Let $A \neq \{0_A\}$ be a divisible abelian group and assume towards a contradiction that A is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis B . Since $A \neq \{0_A\}$, $B \neq \emptyset$, so there exists $0_A \neq a \in B$. By a theorem from class (the UMP for free modules), there exists a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(a) = 1_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Pick any integer $n > 1$. Since A is divisible, there exists $b \in A$ such that $a = nb$, and thus $1_{\mathbb{Z}} = f(a) = f(nb) = nf(b)$, since f is a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism. Therefore, $n \mid 1_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which is a contradiction since $n > 1$.

Now notice that \mathbb{Q} is divisible, since for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the element $q' = q/n \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfies $q = nq'$. By the first part of the proof, \mathbb{Q} is not a free \mathbb{Z} -module. \square

Problem 3. Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$.

- a) Show that if M is a nonzero free R -module, then $\text{ann}(M) = 0$.
- b) Give an example of a ring R and a module M such that $\text{ann}(M) \neq 0$.

Proof.

- a) Suppose towards a contradiction that $M \neq \{0_M\}$ is a free R -module but $\text{ann}_R(M) \neq \{0_R\}$. Let $0_R \neq r \in \text{ann}_R(M)$ and let $b \in M$ be an element of a basis of M . Then $rb = 0_M$ by the definition of the annihilator, and this shows that $\{b\}$ is linearly dependent. This contradicts the fact that b is a basis element. Therefore, $\text{ann}_R(M) = \{0_R\}$.

- b) Let R be any commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ that is not a field, and let I be a nontrivial ideal. The module R/I is not free, as we showed in Problem 1, and $\text{ann}(R/I) = I$.

For a more concrete example, take $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $I = (2)$, and note that $\text{ann}(\mathbb{Z}/(2)) = (2)$.

\square

Problem 4. Prove that if R is a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ then $R^m \cong R^n$ as R -modules if and only if $m = n$. In order to do that, you will complete the following steps:

- a) Show that if I is any ideal of R and M is any R -module, then M/IM is an R/I -module via

$$(r + I) \cdot (m + IM) = rm + IM.$$

Proof. Given the R/I -action defined by $(r + I) \cdot (m + IM) = rm + IM$, we need to show that our proposed action is well-defined, and then that this makes M/IM a module.

- *The action is well defined:* To prove this, suppose $r + I = s + I$ and $m + IM = n + IM$. Then $r - s \in I$ and $m - n \in IM$, hence

$$rm - sn = rm - rn + rn - sn = r(m - n) + (r - s)n \in IM$$

since IM is closed under addition and the R -action. This shows that $rm + IM = sn + IM$ and thus

$$(r + I)(m + IM) = (s + I)(n + IM).$$

- *The module axioms hold true:* This follows from the fact that, since IM is an R -submodule, then M/IM is an R -module with R -action $r(m + IM) = rm + IM$. Since the action of R/I on M/IM is the same as the R -action (meaning, the coset $r + I$ acts on M/IM in the same way its representative r acts on M/IM) and since all the module axioms hold for the R -action, they also hold for the R/I -action.

For example, here is one of the axioms are in more detail:

$$\begin{aligned} ((r + I) + (s + I))(m + IM) &= ((r + s) + I)(m + IM) \\ &= (r + s)(m + IM) \\ &= r(m + IM) + s(m + IM) && M/IM \text{ is an } R\text{-module} \\ &= (r + I)(m + IM) + (s + I)(m + IM) && \square \end{aligned}$$

- b) Show that if I is any ideal of R , then $R^n/IR^n \cong (R/I)^n$ as R/I -modules.

Proof. Let $f : R^n \rightarrow (R/I)^n$ be the unique R -module homomorphism such that $f(e_i) = \bar{e}_i$, where e_i is the vector with a 1 in the i th position and 0 elsewhere, and \bar{e}_i is the vector with $1 + I$ in the i th position and $0 + I$ elsewhere. Such a map exists by the UMP for free modules since $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ form a basis for R^n .

Since the \bar{e}_i form a basis for $(R/I)^n$ and since $\text{im}(f)$ is a subspace of $(R/I)^n$ that contains all the \bar{e}_i , it follows that $\text{im}(f) = (R/I)^n$, and thus f is surjective. A vector (a_1, \dots, a_n) is in the kernel of f if and only if $(a_1 + I, \dots, a_n + I) = (0 + I, \dots, 0 + I)$, or equivalently $a_i \in I$ for all i . Therefore

$$\ker(f) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in I\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \mid a_i \in I \right\} = IR^n.$$

The last equality follows because the containment \subseteq holds by definition of IR^n and the containment \supseteq is justified by the calculations below:

$$\begin{aligned} IR^n &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i r_i \mid b_i \in I, r_i \in R^n \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} e_j \mid b_i \in I, c_{ij} \in R \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i c_{ij} \right) e_j \mid b_i \in I, c_{ij} \in R \Rightarrow b_i c_{ij} \in I \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by the first isomorphism theorem, f induces an R -**module** isomorphism

$$\bar{f} : R^n/IR^n \xrightarrow{\cong} (R/I)^n.$$

Moreover, both the source and target of \bar{f} are R/I -modules: the right-hand side for obvious reasons and the left-hand side by part a). We actually want \bar{f} to be an R/I -module isomorphism. We already know that \bar{f} preserves sums, since it is an R -module homomorphism. All that remains is to check that \bar{f} is R/I -linear. Since \bar{f} is R -linear:

$$\bar{f}((r + I)(m + IR^n)) = \bar{f}(rm + IR^n) = f(rm) = rf(m) = (r + I)\bar{f}(m + IR^n).$$

The last equality follows since R/I acts on $(R/I)^n$ by $(r + I)t = rt$ for any $t \in (R/I)^n$. \square

c) Apply the previous part when $I = \mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of R .

Tip: You will need to use the following fact, which we shall prove in class very soon: if F is a field, then $F^n \cong F^m$ as F -vector spaces if and only if $m = n$.

Proof. We want to show that $R^m \cong R^n$ as R -modules if and only if $m = n$. If $m = n$, then $R^m \cong R^n$ trivially.

Now assume that $\varphi : R^m \rightarrow R^n$ is an R -module isomorphism. Take any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R , which exists by a result from Math 817. Consider the quotient map $q : R^m \rightarrow R^m/\mathfrak{m}R^m$ and the composite map $\psi = q \circ \varphi$. This is an R -module homomorphism, which is surjective since both q and φ are surjective. Let's consider the kernel of ψ . We know that $\ker q = \mathfrak{m}R^m$, since q is the canonical projection. Since φ is injective, the kernel of ψ is just the preimage of $\mathfrak{m}R^m$ via φ :

$$\ker(\psi) = \varphi^{-1}(0) = \varphi^{-1}(q^{-1}(0)) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}R^m).$$

But φ^{-1} is an R -module homomorphism as well, so

$$\ker(\psi) = \mathfrak{m}\varphi^{-1}(R^m) = \mathfrak{m}R^n.$$

The First Isomorphism Theorem now gives the existence of an R -module isomorphism

$$\bar{\psi} : R^n/\mathfrak{m}R^n \rightarrow R^m/\mathfrak{m}R^m \quad \bar{\psi}(m + \mathfrak{m}R^n) = \psi(m).$$

We claim that $\bar{\psi}$ is in fact an R/\mathfrak{m} -module homomorphism; we need to check that this is an R/\mathfrak{m} -linear map:

$$\bar{\psi}((r + \mathfrak{m})(m + \mathfrak{m}R^n)) = \bar{\psi}(rm + \mathfrak{m}R^n) = \psi(rm) = r\psi(m) = (r + I)\bar{\psi}(m + \mathfrak{m}R^n),$$

where the last equality uses again the formula for the R/\mathfrak{m} -action on $R^m/\mathfrak{m}R^m$.

Using part b), we have the further isomorphisms

$$(R/\mathfrak{m})^n \cong R^n/\mathfrak{m}R^n \cong R^m/\mathfrak{m}R^m \cong (R/\mathfrak{m})^m.$$

Now rewriting the above isomorphism in terms of the field $F = R/\mathfrak{m}$ gives $F^n \cong F^m$ as F -vector spaces, and we know from class that this is true if and only if $m = n$. \square