

variety = a set of points in  $A^d$  that contains exactly all the solutions to some system of equations

=  $Z$ (some (possibly infinite) set of polynomials)

(possibly infinite) system of equations in finitely many ( $d$ ) variables given by polynomials  $\xrightarrow{Z}$  solution set of  $\subseteq A^d$  our system

the system of all the polynomials that vanish at the given points  $\xleftarrow{I}$  subsets of  $A^d$

$k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \xrightarrow{Z} Z(T) = \{ \underline{a} \in A^d : f(\underline{a}) = 0 \forall f \in T \}$

$A_k^d$

$\{ f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d] : f(x) = 0 \forall x \in X \} \xleftarrow{I} X \subseteq A^d$

$T \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \xrightarrow{Z} Z(T) \subseteq A^d$  variety

$I(Z(T))$   
possibly more polynomials

Properties 1)  $Z(0) = A_k^d$

2)  $Z(1) = \emptyset$

3)  $I(\emptyset) = (1) = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

4)  $I \subseteq J \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \Rightarrow Z(I) \supseteq Z(J)$

5)  $S \subseteq T \subseteq A_k^d \Rightarrow I(S) \supseteq I(T)$

6)  $I = (T) \Rightarrow Z(T) = Z(I)$

Hilbert's Basis Theorem  $\Rightarrow$  any system of equations in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  can be replaced by finitely many equations

Ex:  $I(\{(a_1, \dots, a_d)\}) = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)$

Ex  $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \end{bmatrix}$  generic matrix

$R = k[X] = k \left[ \begin{matrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \end{matrix} \right]$  ( $k$  field)

$\Delta_1 = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_3 \\ y_2 & y_3 \end{pmatrix}$   $\Delta_2 = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_3 \end{pmatrix}$   $\Delta_3 = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$

$I := (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3) \subseteq (x_1, x_2, x_3) =: J$

$A_k^6 \cong 2 \times 3$  matrices

$Z(I) =$  matrices of rank  $\leq 1$

$Z(J) =$  matrices with top row 0

$Z(J) \subseteq Z(I) \Rightarrow$  matrices with a 0 row have rank  $\leq 1$

Prime ideals  $\mathcal{P}$  is prime if  $fg \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{P} \text{ or } g \in \mathcal{P}$

$\Downarrow$

$R/\mathcal{P}$  is a domain

Ex: primes in  $\mathbb{Z}$ :  $(p)$ ,  $p$  prime  
 $(0)$  ( $\mathbb{Z}$  is a domain!)

Ex: primes in  $k[x] = (f)$   $f$  irreducible  
 $(0)$  ( $k[x]$  is a domain)

Ex:  $\mathcal{P} = (x^3 - y^2) \subseteq R = k[x, y]$  is prime, since

$k[x, y] \xrightarrow{f} k[t^2, t^3] \subseteq k[t]$  (domain)

$\ker f = \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow k[x, y]/\mathcal{P}$  is a domain

Will see: prime ideals  $\Leftrightarrow$  irreducible varieties  
 $X$  irreducible  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}(X)$  prime

Maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is maximal if

$\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{I} \text{ or } \mathcal{I} = R$

$\Downarrow$

$R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field

Residue field of  $\mathfrak{m} := R/\mathfrak{m}$

Note A ring might have many residue fields.

For example, the residue fields of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for all  $p$  prime

Exercise Maximal  $\Rightarrow$  prime

But prime  $\not\Rightarrow$  maximal

Example  $(0)$  is prime but not maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}$

Theorem Every ideal in  $R$  is contained in some maximal ideal

Proof Notes

Back to geometry:

Lemma  $k$  field

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$$

$$A_k^d$$

bijection  $\longleftrightarrow$

} maximal ideals in  $R$  /  
with  $R/m \cong k$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_d)$$

$\longmapsto$

$$(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)$$

Proof

Note that for each choice  
of  $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in A_k^d$

$$\frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_d]}{(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)} \cong k \quad \checkmark$$

Injective: these ideals are all distinct, since  $x_i - a_i, x_i - b_i \in \mathfrak{m}$   
 $\Rightarrow (x_i - a_i) - (x_i - b_i) = \underbrace{b_i - a_i}_{\in k, \neq 0} \in \mathfrak{m} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m} = R$

Surjectivity:  $R/m \cong k \Rightarrow$  each class in  $R/m$  corresponds to a unique  $a \in k$

so for each  $i$ ,  $x_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m}$  for some  $a_i \in k$

$\Rightarrow x_i - a_i \in m$  for all  $i \Rightarrow \underbrace{(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)}_{\text{maximal}} \subseteq m$

$\Rightarrow (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d) = m$

Example/Warning Not all maximal ideals in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  are of this form. Eg, when  $k = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d = 1$

In  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ ,  $(x^2 + 1)$  is a maximal ideal

$$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{C} \neq \mathbb{R}$$

But this bad behavior won't happen if  $k = \bar{k}$

Zariski's lemma  $k \subseteq L$  extension of fields

- If  $L$  is a fg  $k$ -algebra, then  $L$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. (algebra finite  $\Rightarrow$  module finite)
- As a consequence, if  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $k \subseteq L$  alg-fn, then  $L = k$   
(why? Alg-fn  $\Rightarrow$  mod-fn  $\Rightarrow$  integral  $\Rightarrow$  algebraic)

So if  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is a maximal ideal,  
 $k \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/\mathfrak{m} \cong \text{field}$  is algebra finite

$$\Rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/\mathfrak{m} \cong k$$

From now on:  $k = \bar{k}$

Nullstellensatz  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ ,  $k = \bar{k}$

① There is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_k^d &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{maximal ideals of } S\} \\ (a_1, \dots, a_d) &\longmapsto (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d) \end{aligned}$$

② If  $R$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra  $\Rightarrow$   $R = S/I$   
 $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$   
 there is an induced bijection

$$Z_k(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^d \longleftrightarrow \{\text{maximal ideals in } R\}$$

Proof ①  $\{\text{maximal ideals with } R/\mathfrak{m} \cong k\} = \{\text{maximal ideals}\}$

②  $\{\text{max ideals of } R\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\updownarrow \\ &\{\text{max ideals of } S, \supseteq I\} \longleftrightarrow \{a \in \mathbb{A}^d, a \in Z_k(I)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$I \subseteq (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d) \longmapsto Z(I) \ni \{a\}$$

thm (weak Nullstellensatz)  $k = \bar{k}$

$I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  proper ideal  $\Rightarrow Z(I) \neq \emptyset$

Proof  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  maximal  $\Rightarrow \underbrace{Z(\mathfrak{m})}_{\text{point!}} \subseteq Z(I)$

$I$  ideal  $\xrightarrow{Z} Z(I)$  variety  $\xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{L}(Z(I))$

how does this relate to  $I$ ?

Ex:  $R = k[x]$ ,  $I_n = (x^n)$   $n \geq 1$

$Z(I_n) = \{0\}$  for all  $n$

What do all these different ideals have in common?

Remark  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ ,  $\underline{a} \in A^d$

$f(\underline{a}) \neq 0 \iff f(\underline{a})$  invertible

$\iff b f(\underline{a}) - 1 = 0$  for some  $b$

$\iff y f(\underline{a}) - 1 = 0$  has a solution

so:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1 \neq 0 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution

$\iff$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y g_1 - 1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ y g_n - 1 = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m = 0 \\ y g_1 \cdots g_n - 1 = 0 \end{array} \right. \text{ has a solution}$$

thm (Strong Nullstellensatz)  $k = \bar{k}$   
 $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

$$f \in I(Z(I)) \Leftrightarrow f^n \in I \text{ for some } n$$

Proof

$$(\Leftarrow) f^n \in I \Rightarrow f^n(a) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in Z(I)$$

$\Downarrow$   $k$  field

$$f(a) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in Z(I)$$

$\Downarrow$

$$f \in I(Z(I))$$

$$(\Rightarrow) f \in I(Z(I))$$

so  $\text{polynomials in } I = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$

thus  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{polynomials in } I = 0 \\ f \neq 0 \end{array} \right. \text{ has no solutions}$



$$\Rightarrow \bar{z} (I + (yf-1)) = \emptyset \text{ in } R[y]$$

weak  
 $\Rightarrow$   
Nullstellensatz

$$I + (yf-1) = R[y]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 \in I + (yf-1)$$

$$\text{If } I = (g_1, \dots, g_m),$$

$$1 = x_0 \cdot (1 - yf) + x_1 g_1 + \dots + x_m g_m$$

$$\downarrow y \mapsto \frac{1}{f} \text{ in } \text{frac}(R[y])$$

$$1 = x_1(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}) \cdot g_1(\underline{x}) + \dots + x_m(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}) g_m(\underline{x})$$

take the largest negative power of  $f$  appearing  $\Rightarrow$  clear denominators

$$f^n = s_1 g_1 + \dots + s_m g_m$$

$\uparrow$   
only on  $\underline{x}$

$\searrow$  equation in  $R$

$$\Rightarrow f^n \in I$$