**Definition:** A **ring homomorphism** is a mapping \( R \to S \) between two rings (with identity) that satisfies:

1. \( \phi(x + y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y) \) for all \( x, y \in R \).
2. \( \phi(x \cdot y) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y) \) for all \( x, y \in R \).
3. \( \phi(1) = 1 \).

**Definition:** A **ring isomorphism** is a bijective ring homomorphism. We say that two rings \( R \) and \( S \) are **isomorphic** if there is an isomorphism \( R \to S \) between them.

You should think of an isomorphism as a renaming: isomorphic rings are “the same ring” with the elements named differently.

**Definition:** The **kernel** of a ring homomorphism \( R \to S \) is the set of elements in the source that map to the ZERO of the target; that is,

\[
\ker \phi = \{ r \in R \mid \phi(r) = 0 \}
\]

**Theorem:** A ring homomorphism \( R \to S \) is injective if and only if its kernel is zero.

**A. Examples of Homomorphisms:** Which of the following mappings between rings is a **homomorphism**? Which are **isomorphisms**?

1. The inclusion mapping \( \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \) sending each integer \( n \) to the rational number \( \frac{n}{1} \).
2. The doubling map \( \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) sending \( n \mapsto 2n \).
3. The **residue map** \( \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n \) sending each integer \( a \) to its congruence class \( [a]_n \).
4. The “evaluation at 0” map \( \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R} \) sending \( f(x) \mapsto f(0) \).
5. The differentiation map \( \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}[x] \) sending \( f \mapsto \frac{df}{dx} \).
6. The map \( \mathbb{R} \to M_2(\mathbb{R}) \) sending \( \lambda \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \).
7. The map \( \mathbb{R} \to M_2(\mathbb{R}) \) sending \( \lambda \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \).
8. The map \( M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R} \) sending each \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix to its determinant.

**Solution.**

1. Homomorphism, but not isomorphism.
2. Not homomorphism, 1 is not mapped to 1.
3. Homomorphism, but not isomorphisms.
4. Homomorphism, but not isomorphisms.
5. Not homomorphism, 1 is not mapped to 0.
6. Homomorphism but not isomorphism.
7. Is NOT a homomorphism, because the one is not preserved.
8. Is NOT a homomorphism because the addition is not preserved: \( \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \).

**B. Basic Proofs:** Let \( \phi : S \to T \) be a homomorphism of rings.

1. Show that \( \phi(0_S) = 0_T \).
(2) Show that for all \( x \in S, -\phi(x) = \phi(-x) \). That is: a ring homomorphism “respects additive inverses”.

(3) Show that if \( u \in S \) is a unit, then also \( \phi(u) \in T \) is a unit.

Solution.

(1) Note that \( 0_S + 0_S = 0_S \). Apply \( \phi \): \( \phi(0_S + 0_S) = \phi(0_S) \) and use the fact that \( \phi \) respects addition to write \( \phi(0_S) + \phi(0_S) = \phi(0_S) \). This is a statement in \( T \). Now, add \( -\phi(0_S) \) to both sides as in Warm-up Problem A(1) to conclude: \( \phi(0_S) = 0_T \).

(2) Given \( x \in S \), we know that \( x + -x = 0_S \). Apply \( \phi \) to get a statement in \( T \): \( \phi(x + -x) = \phi(0_S) = 0_T \). Because \( \phi \) preserves addition: \( \phi(x) + \phi(-x) = 0_T \). This (together with the fact that \( + \) is commutative in any ring) exactly says that \( \phi(-x) \) is the additive inverse of \( \phi(x) \). So \( -\phi(x) = \phi(-x) \).

(3) Since \( u \) is unit, there exists an element \( u^{-1} \) in \( S \) such that \( uu^{-1} = u^{-1}u = 1 \). Then \( \phi(uu^{-1}) = \phi(u)\phi(u^{-1}) = \phi(1) = 1 \) and \( \phi(u^{-1}u) = \phi(u^{-1})\phi(u) = \phi(1) = 1 \). Hence \( \phi(u)^{-1} = \phi(u^{-1}) \).

C. KERNEL OF RING HOMOMORPHISMS: Let \( \phi : R \to S \) be a ring homomorphism

1. Prove that ker \( \phi \) is nonempty.
2. Compute the kernel of the canonical homomorphism: \( \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n \) sending \( a \to [a]_n \).
3. Compute the kernel of the homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \) sending \( n \to ([n]_7, [n]_{11}) \).
4. For arbitrary rings \( R, S \), compute the kernel of the projection homomorphism \( R \times S \to R \) sending \((r, s) \mapsto r \). Write your answer in set-builder notation.

Solution.

1. This follows since \( \phi(0) = 0 \), so 0 is in the kernel.
2. The kernel here is \( \{nb \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \).
3. \( \{77b \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \). Note that 77 is the least common multiple of 7 and 11.
4. \( \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\} \)

D. Prove the THEOREM: A ring homomorphism \( \phi : R \to S \) is injective if and only if its kernel is ZERO.

Solution.

Suppose \( \phi \) is injective. Take any \( x \in \ker \phi \). Then \( \phi(x) = \phi(0) = 0 \). By injectivity, we have \( x = 0 \). So the kernel is \( \{0_R\} \). Conversely, assume that \( \ker \phi = \{0_R\} \). Suppose that \( \phi(x) = \phi(y) \) for \( x, y \in R \). Then \( \phi(x) + -\phi(y) = 0_S \). Using C(2), we have \( \phi(x) + \phi(-y) = 0_S \), and since \( \phi \) respects addition, \( \phi(x + (-y)) = 0_S \). So \( x + -y \) is in the kernel. By assumption, \( x + -y = 0 \), so \( x = y \).

E. ISOMORPHISM. Suppose that \( R \xrightarrow{\phi} S \) is a ring isomorphism. True or False.

1. \( \phi \) induces a bijection between units.
2. \( \phi \) induces a bijection between zerodivisors.
3. \( R \) is a field if and only if \( S \) is a field.
4. \( R \) is an (integral) domain if and only if \( S \) is an (integral) domain.
Solution.

(1) True. Let $U_S$ and $U_R$ be set of units in $S$ and $R$ respectively. Then $\phi$ is a bijective map from $U_R$ to $U_S$. It is injective because it in injective on $R$. To see subjectivity, suppose $u$ us $a$ in $U_s$, the $\phi^{-1}(u)$ is a unit in $R$, and $\phi(\phi^{-1}(u)) = u$.

(2) True. If $x \neq 0$ is a zero divisor in $R$, then there exists a nonzero element $y \in R$ such that $xy = 0$. So, $\phi(x)\phi(y) = 0$. Since $\phi$ is injective and $y$ is nonzero, $\phi(y)$ is nonzero. Therefore, Hence $\phi(x) \neq 0$ is a zerdodivisor in $S$. $\phi$ is injective on the set of zerodivisors since it is injective on $R$. To see that it is subjective, let $m \neq 0$ be a zero divisor in $S$. Then $mn = 0$ for some $n \in S$. Then $\phi^{-1}(mn) = \phi^{-1}(m)\phi^{-1}(n) = 0$ where $\phi^{-1}(m)$ and $\phi^{-1}(n)$ are nonzero. Hence, phi$^{-1}(m)$ is a zero divisor in R whose image is $m$.

(3) and (4) are also true. We think about an isomorphism as relabeling of the elements of the ring. This relabeling changes the look of the ring, but leaves the structure, and how elements interact with each other, intact.

F. ISOMORPHISM. Consider the set $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and the associative binary operations $\odot$ and $\otimes$ listed below. You observed earlier that $(S, \otimes, \odot)$ is a ring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Discuss and recall some of the features of the ring $(S, \otimes, \odot)$. To what more familiar ring is it isomorphic?

(2) The operations $\odot$ and $\otimes$ (whose tables are listed above) define a different ring structure on $S$. What are the zero and one? Is the ring $(S, \odot, \otimes)$ isomorphic to $(S, \otimes, \odot)$? Explain.

(3) Find an explicit isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow (S, \otimes, \odot)$.

(4) Can you find a different isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow (S, \odot, \otimes)$?

(5) Can there be more than one isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow (S, \otimes, \odot)$?

Solution.

(1) We can take $\otimes$ to be the addition and $\odot$ to be the multiplication. By inspection, we see that both are commutative, and that $a$ is the identity for $\otimes$ (hence our “zero”) and $b$ is the identity for $\odot$ (hence our “1”). We see that every element has an additive identity (we can scan each row of the addition chart, looking for the zero element...we then can find what element is the additive inverse. So the additive inverse of $a$ is $a$, the additive inverse of $b$ is $d$ (hence of $d$ is $b$, and $c$ is its own additive inverse). The distributive property is a beast to check by hand.

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
\times & \{0\} & \{1\} & \{2\} & \{3\} \\
\hline
\{0\} & \{0\} & \{1\} & \{2\} & \{3\} \\
\{1\} & \{1\} & \{0\} & \{3\} & \{2\} \\
\{2\} & \{2\} & \{3\} & \{0\} & \{1\} \\
\{3\} & \{3\} & \{2\} & \{1\} & \{0\}
\end{array}
$$

The explicit isomorphism is $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4$ sending $a \mapsto \{0\}, b \mapsto \{1\}, c \mapsto \{2\}$ and $d \mapsto \{3\}$.

(2) Zero is $a$ and one is $b$. $(S, \odot, \otimes)$ is not isomorphic to $(S, \otimes, \odot)$. There are many ways to see this here is one: If $\phi$ is an isomorphism from $(S, \otimes, \odot)$ to $(S, \odot, \otimes)$, then one
is mapped to one and zero is mapped to zero (we proved the latter.) So \( \phi(a) = a \) and \( \phi(b) = b \). Now, we should have \( \phi(b \oplus b) = \phi(b) \oplus \phi(b) \) But \( \phi(b \oplus b) = \phi(c) \) and \( \phi(b) \oplus \phi(b) = b \oplus b = a \). And because \( \phi \) is injective it implies that \( c = a \). The contradiction tells us there is no isomorphism between the two rings.

(3) \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) has four elements \((0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1) \) and \((1, 1)\). The zero is \((0, 0)\) and the 1 is \((1, 1)\).

(4) and (4) The map is \( S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) sending \( a \mapsto (0, 0), b \mapsto (1, 1), c \mapsto (0, 1) \) and \( d \mapsto (1, 0) \) is easily checked to be an isomorphism. It is not the only one! The isomorphism must match up the identities, so \( a \) must correspond to \((0, 0)\) and \( b \) must correspond to \((1, 1)\). But \( c \) and \( d \) can go interchangeably to either \((1, 0)\) or \((0, 1)\).

(5) Any isomorphism sends \([1]\) to \( b \), and preserves addition, hence \([2] = [1] + [1]\) goes to \( b \oplus b = c \) and \([3] = [1] + [1] + [1]\) goes to \( c \oplus b = d \) and finally \([0]\) has to go to \( a \). So there is only one isomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow (S, \oplus, \cdot) \).

G. CAUTIONARY EXAMPLES: Let \( R \) and \( S \) be arbitrary rings.

(1) Is the map \( R \rightarrow R \times S \) sending \( a \mapsto (a, 1) \) a ring homomorphism? Explain.
(2) Is the map \( R \rightarrow R \times S \) sending \( a \mapsto (a, 0) \) a ring homomorphism? Explain.
(3) Find a natural homomorphism \( R \rightarrow R \times R \). Can it be an isomorphism?

Solution.

(1) No! The problem is that ring homomorphisms preserve the additive structure, but given \( a, b \in R \),

\[
a + b \mapsto (a + b, 1) \text{ while also } a + b \mapsto (a, 1) + (b, 1).
\]

This would only make sense if \( 1 + 1 = 1 \) in \( S \), but that means \( 1 = 0 \) and \( S \) is the ring with one element.

(2) No! A ring homomorphism send 1 to 1, but 1 \( \mapsto (1, 0) \), while the one in \( R \times S \) is \((1, 1)\).

(3) \( r \mapsto (r, r) \). This is not an isomorphism, since it cannot be surjective.

H. CANONICAL RING HOMOMORPHISMS: Let \( R \) be any ring\(^1\). Prove that there exists a unique ring homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R \).

Solution. Let \( f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R \) be a ring homomorphism. Then by definition, \( f(1) = 1 \). Now this determines the image of every integer: if \( n > 1 \), then

\[
f(n) = f(1) + \cdots + f(1)
\]

\( n \) times

\(^1\)with identity of course
and $f(-n) = -f(n)$. The map defined by $n \mapsto n \cdot 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is always a ring homomorphism. Here $n \cdot 1$ denotes $1 + \cdots + 1$, \(n\) times.