

Decompositions of Modules over Principal Subalgebras of Truncated Polynomial Rings

Kevin Harris

January 24, 2022

- Background
- Decomposition Theorem for PIDs
- Representation Matrices
- Clebsch-Gordan Problem
- Results
- Future Goals

- Let $A = A_p^n$ denote the following truncated polynomial ring:

$$A_p^n = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)}$$

where k is a field and $\text{char}(k) = p$ for some prime p .

- Let $R = k[u_\lambda]$ denote the subalgebra of A where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in k^n$, and $u_\lambda = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n$.
- Note that in R :

$$u_\lambda^p = (\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n)^p = \lambda_1^p x_1^p + \dots + \lambda_n^p x_n^p \equiv 0.$$

Decomposition of an A -module M over R

Fundamental Theorem for Modules over a PID

Let M be a finitely generated module over a principal ideal domain R . Then M is a direct sum of cyclic submodules. More precisely, there exist nonnegative integers h, m , irreducible elements $p_1, \dots, p_m \in R$ and positive integers t_1, \dots, t_m such that

$$M \cong R/Rp_1^{t_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R/Rp_m^{t_m} \oplus R^h.$$

Applying theorem to $k[x]/(x^p)$

- There is a natural epimorphism $f : k[x] \rightarrow k[x]/(x^p)$.
- Thus, any finitely generated $k[x]/(x^p)$ -module M can also be considered as a $k[x]$ -module with

$$M \cong k[x]/(p_1^{t_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus k[x]/(p_m^{t_m}) \oplus k[x]^h.$$

- When viewing M as a $k[x]/(x^p)$ -module, we have that $x^p M = 0$. This forces $h = 0$ and $x^p k[x]/(p_i^{t_i}) = 0$ for each i . So we have that

$$x^p \in (p_i^{t_i}) \Rightarrow (x^p) \subseteq (p_i^{t_i}) \Rightarrow (x) \subseteq (p_i) \Rightarrow (x) = (p_i) \quad \forall i.$$

Decomposing M over $k[x]/(x^p)$

Decomposition Theorem for $k[x]/(x^p)$

Let M be a finitely generated module over $k[x]/(x^p)$ and $D_i = k[x]/(x^i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq p$. Then there exists nonnegative integers m_i , $i = 1, \dots, p$ such that

$$M \cong D_1^{m_1} \oplus D_2^{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_p^{m_p}.$$

- Is there a free part?
- Consider the map $\phi : k[x] \rightarrow k[u_\lambda]$ defined by $x \mapsto u_\lambda$.
- Note that ϕ is surjective and $\ker(\phi) = (x^p)$.
- Thus, by F.I.T., we have $D_p = k[x]/(x^p) \cong k[u_\lambda]$.
- Moreover, $D_i \cong k[u_\lambda]/(u_\lambda^i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Question 1

Let M be a finitely generated A -module. If k is infinite, there are infinitely many choices for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$. How does the decomposition of M change as λ changes? Does the decomposition always change?

Example 1

- Let $A = \frac{k[x_1, x_2]}{(x_1^2, x_2^2)}$. Consider the ideal $I = (x_1)$ of A . Clearly, I is an A -module. Note that I has the following k -basis: $\{x_1, x_1x_2\}$.
- Recall that $R = k[u_\lambda]$ is the subalgebra of A such that $u_\lambda = \lambda_1x_1 + \lambda_2x_2$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in k$.
- Suppose $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 0$. Then $R = k[x_1]$ so I needs two generators as an R -module, take $\{x_1, x_1x_2\}$. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= Rx_1 \oplus Rx_1x_2 \\ &\cong R/\text{ann}_R(x_1) \oplus R/\text{ann}_R(x_1x_2) \\ &\cong R/(x_1) \oplus R/(x_1) \\ &\cong k^2 \end{aligned}$$

Same Example

- Suppose $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$. Then $R = k[x_2]$ so I only needs one generator as an R -module, take $\{x_1\}$. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= Rx_1 \\ &\cong R/\text{ann}_R(x_1) \\ &\cong R/\langle 0_R \rangle \\ &\cong R. \end{aligned}$$

- Notice we not only have a different decomposition of I as an R -module, but we can also see that I can be viewed as a free R -module with the right conditions for λ .

Example 2

- Use the same A from the previous example and let $I = (x_1, x_2)$. Note that I has the following k -basis: $\{x_1, x_2, x_1x_2\}$.
- Suppose $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 0$. Then $R = k[x_1]$ so I needs two generators as an R -modules, take $\{x_1, x_2\}$. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= Rx_1 \oplus Rx_2 \\ &\cong R/\text{ann}_R(x_1) \oplus R/\text{ann}_R(x_2) \\ &\cong R/(x_1) \oplus R/\langle 0_R \rangle \\ &\cong k \oplus R. \end{aligned}$$

Same Example

- Suppose $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$. Then $R = k[x_2]$ so I still needs two generators as an R -module, take $\{x_1, x_2\}$. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= Rx_1 \oplus Rx_2 \\ &\cong R/\text{ann}_R(x_1) \oplus R/\text{ann}_R(x_2) \\ &\cong R/\langle 0_R \rangle \oplus R/\langle x_2 \rangle \\ &\cong R \oplus k. \end{aligned}$$

- Notice how the decomposition didn't change even though the conditions on λ did.

Fact

The decomposition for this module will not change as λ changes. This is called a Module of Constant Jordan Type.

Let's look at it a different way

- Use the same A from the previous examples ($n = 2, p = 2$). Consider the ideal $I = (x_1)$ of A .
- We know that $\{x_1, x_1x_2\}$ is a k -basis for I . What happens when we multiply both of those elements by $u_\lambda = \lambda_1x_1 + \lambda_2x_2$? We have

$$u_\lambda x_1 \equiv \lambda_2 x_1 x_2$$

$$u_\lambda x_1 x_2 \equiv 0.$$

- We can also view the module as a matrix by looking at the "representation matrix" of u_λ over I with respect to the basis shown above. We will denote it as $[u_\lambda]_I$.

$$[u_\lambda]_I = \begin{array}{c} x_1 \quad x_1x_2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

What is a Representation Matrix?

- Let M be a finitely generated A -module with the following k -basis: $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$.
- Suppose there exists $a_{ij} \in k$ such that

$$u_\lambda e_1 = a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + \cdots + a_{m1}e_m$$

$$u_\lambda e_2 = a_{12}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + \cdots + a_{m2}e_m$$

$$\vdots$$

$$u_\lambda e_m = a_{1m}e_1 + a_{2m}e_2 + \cdots + a_{mm}e_m.$$

- Then the following matrix is the representation matrix of u_λ on M with respect to the k -basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$:

$$[u_\lambda]_M = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

Why do we need representation matrices?

- Since we know

$$u_\lambda = \lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n x_n,$$

it immediately follows that

$$[u_\lambda]_M = \lambda_1 [x_1]_M + \cdots + \lambda_n [x_n]_M.$$

- Another way to look at the decomposition of an A -module M over R is through each of the representation matrices of x_i on M , denoted as $[x_i]_M$.
- One of our goals is to figure out what $[x_i]_{M \otimes N}$ looks like if we know $[x_i]_M$ and $[x_i]_N$.

Translating to One Variable

- Let M be a finitely generated A -module.
- Recall that there exists nonnegative integers m_1, \dots, m_p such that

$$M \cong D_1^{m_1} \oplus D_2^{m_2} \oplus \dots \oplus D_{p-1}^{m_{p-1}} \oplus D_p^{m_p}$$

where each $D_i = k[x]/(x^i)$. Note that each D_i has k -basis $\{1, x, \dots, x^{i-1}\}$, so the representation matrix of x on D_i is

$$[x]_{D_i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- These correspond to what we will call Jordan blocks. We will denote them as J_i .

Jordan Blocks

In general, J_i is the $i \times i$ matrix consisting of 1's on the subdiagonal and 0's everywhere else.

$$D_1 \longleftrightarrow J_1 = [0]$$

$$D_2 \longleftrightarrow J_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D_3 \longleftrightarrow J_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D_4 \longleftrightarrow J_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so on. J_i is the representation matrix of x on D_i with respect to the k -basis $\{1, x, \dots, x^{i-1}\}$.

Question 2

Can we determine the decomposition of $M \otimes_k N$ over $R = k[u_\lambda]$ if we know the decompositions of M and N (both A -modules) over R ?

Clebsch-Gordan Problem

- Let M, N be finitely generated A -modules. Then there exist nonnegative integers $m_1, \dots, m_p, n_1, \dots, n_p$ such that we have the following decompositions:

$$M \cong D_1^{m_1} \oplus D_2^{m_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{p-1}^{m_{p-1}} \oplus D_p^{m_p}$$

$$N \cong D_1^{n_1} \oplus D_2^{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{p-1}^{n_{p-1}} \oplus D_p^{n_p}.$$

- $M \otimes_k N$ is also an A -module, so we have

$$M \otimes_k N \cong D_1^{\ell_1} \oplus D_2^{\ell_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{p-1}^{\ell_{p-1}} \oplus D_p^{\ell_p}.$$

Follow-up to Question 2

Can we write an explicit formula for each ℓ_i ? In particular, what does $D_i \otimes_k D_j$ decompose as for some arbitrary $i, j \leq p$?

What we need

- Let M, N be finitely generated A -modules.
- We need to understand how u_λ acts on $M \otimes_k N$.
- Better question: How does each x_i act on $M \otimes_k N$?

Group Algebra Structure of A

- Let $G = \langle g_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_n \rangle$ be a multiplicative group where each $\langle g_i \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order p .
- Consider the group algebra kG and let $\psi_1 : A \rightarrow kG$ be defined by $\psi_1(x_j) = g_j - 1$.
- Moreover, let $\psi_2 : kG \rightarrow A$ be defined by $\psi_2(g_j) = x_j + 1$.
- Note that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are inverses of each other, so we have that $kG \cong A$.

Why do we need kG ?

- Since kG is a group algebra, we have the following diagonal map:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta : kG &\rightarrow kG \otimes_k kG \\ g_i &\mapsto g_i \otimes g_i\end{aligned}$$

- Since $kG \cong A$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} kG & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & kG \otimes_k kG \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\Delta_A} & A \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

- We need to identify what $\Delta_A(x_i)$ is.

Diagonal Map for A

- Note that $\psi_2(g_i - 1) = x_i$ since $\psi_2(g_i) = x_i + 1$.
- By the previous commutative diagram, we have that

$$\Delta_A \circ \psi_2(g_i - 1) = (\psi_2 \otimes_k \psi_2) \circ \Delta(g_i - 1)$$

which gives us

$$\Delta_A(x_i) = 1 \otimes x_i + x_i \otimes 1 + x_i \otimes x_i.$$

- Note that

$$\Delta_A(x) = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 + x \otimes x$$

when we are dealing with one variable.

Using Representation Matrices

- Due to our definition for Δ_A , we determined that

$$[x]_{D_i \otimes_k D_j} = I_i \otimes [x]_{D_j} + [x]_{D_i} \otimes I_j + [x]_{D_i} \otimes [x]_{D_j}$$

where \otimes denotes the Kronecker product.

- Let $M = D_i \otimes_k D_j$ for some $i, j < p$ and recall that J_i is the representation matrix of x on D_i .
- Thus, we can derive the following formula:

$$[x]_M = I_i \otimes J_j + J_i \otimes I_j + J_i \otimes J_j = \begin{bmatrix} J_j & & & & 0 \\ I_j + J_j & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & I_j + J_j & J_j \end{bmatrix}$$

- We will use this formula to help us with our decompositions.

Example 3

- Consider $M = D_2 \otimes_k D_2$ where $p > 2$. Then we have that

$$[x]_M = I_2 \otimes J_2 + J_2 \otimes I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ I_2 + J_2 & J_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Notice that our only eigenvalue of this matrix is 0, so we have that $\dim \text{Null}([x]_M - 0I) = \dim \text{Null}([x]_M) = 2$ since $\text{rank}([x]_M) = 2$.
- That means that this matrix's Jordan Normal form will be comprised of two Jordan blocks.
- Thus, by finding the biggest Jordan block, that will give us the other Jordan block for free.

Same Example

- Note that

$$[x]_M^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad [x]_M^3 = 0_{4 \times 4}.$$

- Thus, we can see that the minimal polynomial of $[x]_M$ is $p(z) = z^3$.
- This means that the biggest Jordan block of our matrix is J_3 . It immediately follows that our other Jordan block is J_1 . So we have that the Jordan Normal form of $[x]_M$ is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

- Therefore, we get that $D_2 \otimes_k D_2 \cong D_3 \oplus D_1$.

Results from Clebsh-Gordan Problem

- $D_i \otimes_k D_1 \cong D_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq p$
- $D_i \otimes_k D_2 \cong D_{i+1} \oplus D_{i-1}$ for any $2 \leq i \leq p-1$
- $D_p \otimes_k D_j \cong D_p^j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq p$

Continued Proof

Consider the $(p-1)j \times (p-1)j$ submatrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_j + J_j & J_j & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ddots & J_j \\ & & & I_j + J_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

I claim that this matrix has full rank. Consider the submatrix

$$[I_j + J_j \quad J_j].$$

Note that using a series of elementary row operations, we can get the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_j & \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} (-1)^{r-1} J_j^r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $J'_j = \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} (-1)^{r-1} J_j^r$. So we have that

Continued Proof pt.2

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_j + J_j & J_j & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & J_j \\ 0 & & & I_j + J_j \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} I_j & J'_j & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & J'_j \\ 0 & & & I_j \end{bmatrix}$$

which is an upper triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal. Thus, by using cofactor expansion on the diagonal entries, we can see that it has determinant 1, which implies it has full rank. Therefore, the rank of $[x]_{D_p \otimes_k D_j}$ is $(p-1)j$ which implies that $D_p \otimes_k D_j$ is a free R -module.

Example 4

- Consider $M = D_3 \otimes_k D_3$ where $p = 3$. Then we have

$$[x]_M = \begin{bmatrix} J_3 & 0 & 0 \\ I_3 + J_3 & J_3 & 0 \\ 0 & I_3 + J_3 & J_3 \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Same Example

- Consider the following submatrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_3 + J_3 & J_3 \\ 0 & I_3 + J_3 \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

- Perform the following elementary row operations in this order: $R_2 - R_1$, $R_3 - R_2$, $R_5 - R_4$, $R_6 - R_5$. Then we get

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Future Goals

Goal 1: Further cases of the Clebsch-Gordan problem

Can we figure out more cases for $D_i \otimes_k D_j$?

Goal 2: Using natural Hopf Algebra structure

A is also considered to be a Hopf Algebra with a natural diagonal map $\Delta(x_i) = 1 \otimes_k x_i + x_i \otimes_k 1$. How does using this map affect the decomposition of a module? In particular, how does $D_i \otimes_k D_j$ decompose using this diagonal map?

Goal 3: Investigating $k[u_\lambda]$ -modules over hypersurfaces with $\text{char}(k) = 0$

Let $\tilde{u}_\lambda = \lambda_1 x_1^p + \cdots + \lambda_n x_n^p \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and consider the hypersurface $H = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(\tilde{u}_\lambda)}$. Consider an A -module M . Does M have the "same behavior" over H that it does over $k[u_\lambda]$?

First Example of Goal 3

- Let $n = 2$ and $p = 2$. Then $A = \frac{k[x_1, x_2]}{(x_1^2, x_2^2)}$ and $H = \frac{k[x_1, x_2]}{(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2)}$.
- Consider the ideal $M = (x_1)$ of A from example 1. Recall that M is free over $k[u_\lambda]$ if and only if $\lambda_2 \neq 0$.
- Note that x_1 is a regular element in H if and only if $\lambda_2 \neq 0$. In this case, $M \cong H/(x_1)$ as an H -module.
- Thus, we have the following free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{[x_1]} H \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$




- Therefore, $pd_H(M) = 1$ if and only if $\lambda_2 \neq 0$.

Second Example of Goal 3

- Let $n = 2$ and $p = 2$. Then $A = \frac{k[x_1, x_2]}{(x_1^2, x_2^2)}$ and $H = \frac{k[x_1, x_2]}{(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2)}$.
- A has k -basis $\{1, x_1, x_2, x_1 x_2\}$, so

$$[u_\lambda]_A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- A is free over $k[u_\lambda] \Leftrightarrow \text{rank} \left([u_\lambda]_A \right) = 2 \Leftrightarrow$ one of $\lambda_1^2, \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \lambda_2^2$ is nonzero.
- Now assume $\text{char}(k) \neq p$. Are those equations the same over H ?

-  Bergh, Petter A; Erdmann, Karin; Jorgensen, David. *Modules of Constant Jordan Type over Quantum Complete Intersections*. DOCUMENTA MATHEMATICA, vol. Vol 25, Oct. 2020, pp. 1541-1570 Pages. DOI.org (Datacite), <https://doi.org/10.25537/DM.2020V25.1541-1570>.
-  Carlson, Jon F. *The Varieties and the Cohomology Ring of a Module*, Journal of Algebra, vol. 85, no. 1, Nov. 1983, pp. 104–43. DOI.org (Crossref), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(83\)90121-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(83)90121-7).
-  Darpö, Erik and Herschend, Martin *On the representation ring of the polynomial algebra over a perfect field*, Mathematische Zeitschrift vol. 265, no. 3, July 2010, pp. 601-15. DOI.org (Crossref) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-009-0532-9>

Thank You!